

High Density Preheating Effects on Q-ball Decays and MSSM Inflation

Micha Berkooz,^{1,*} Daniel J.H. Chung,^{2,†} and Tomer Volansky^{1,‡}¹*Department of Particle Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel*²*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706*

Non-perturbative preheating decay of post-inflationary condensates often results in a high density, low momenta, non-thermal gas. In the case where the non-perturbative classical evolution also leads to Q-balls, this effect shields them from instant dissociation, and may radically change the thermal history of the universe. For example, in a large class of inflationary scenarios, motivated by the MSSM and its embedding in string theory, the reheat temperature changes by a multiplicative factor of 10^{12} .

Introduction. One of the dominant paradigms of modern cosmology is that of inflation. In recent years much emphasis has been given to the epoch immediately after inflation, in an effort to connect it to the Standard Model (SM) or its possible extensions, such as the MSSM. Although this goal has not been achieved yet, much progress has been made in understanding the relevant processes of reheating and preheating.

While instabilities of the condensate may lead to intensive production of particles[1, 2], it often also generates non-topological solitons known as Q-balls[3, 4]. Such solitons are prevalent in most extensions of the SM, and in particular in supersymmetric ones. For example, as noted by [5, 6], the existence of Q-ball solutions is generic in the MSSM parameter space. Furthermore, due to inflation, we expect that some of the condensates of these scalar fields will be displaced far from the global minimum of their potential, thus generating the right initial conditions for the formation of Q-balls. The relevance of the latter to cosmology depends on how long these Q-balls live.

Much like the approach to thermalization in preheating, Q-balls are generated by dynamical instabilities acting on the condensate. In fact, the point of view that we advocate in the current paper is that Q-ball formation should be thought of as a more controllable example of preheating in that the Lyapunov exponents around the coherent condensate configuration could be smaller. A related fact is that part of the systems falls into a new stable configuration which is close by in phase space, namely Q-balls. As we point out, yet another similarity is revealed when not all the energy of the condensate ends up in the Q-balls. This is the generic case which is most relevant to cosmology. In this case scaling arguments, supported by simulations of Q-ball formation [11], indicate that the remaining condensate go into a cold gas of low momenta particles with large occupation number[7].

This cold gas can decay into hot plasma, which in turn can bombard and destroy the Q-balls. In the current paper we discuss the details of this process and how the special off-equilibrium features of the gas, such as its large

number density, can actually stabilize Q-balls at a large range of charges (for a review of the standard computations of the Q-ball formation/destruction see [8]).

The basic observation is that the finite density induces large masses to all fields to which it couples, which are much larger than thermal masses for the same energy density. Thus, the gas's life-time is significantly increased, thereby avoiding dissociation of the Q-balls. Furthermore, this finite density-induced large mass can also suppress the direct decay rate of Q-balls. The upshot of all this is that the longevity of Q-balls is generically increased by the finite density effects. It should be stressed that finite density effects, although similar in character, are not the same as the effects of non-vanishing vacuum expectation value.

Relying on this observation and its consequence of a low reheat temperature, one can for example rule out flat directions in modular inflationary scenarios with gravity mediated SUSY breaking, in which the flat directions have a displacement of order M_{Pl} from the minimum. The use of such moduli is very common, and is almost inevitable [9], for example, in models of hybrid inflation with gravity mediated SUSY breaking. As detailed in [7], this leads to a unique MSSM flat direction, $H_u H_d$, which is not carrying $B - L$ charge and can be involved in modular inflation. This information is extremely useful for building any model of inflation that involves the MSSM fields or those of other supersymmetric extensions.

The purpose of current letter is to consider the effects of the large occupation number of the gas on Q-balls. In addition to the case in [7], in which Q-giants with charge $Q \sim 10^{28}$ were considered, we show that the longevity of the Q-balls is modified all the way down to charges of 10^{14} , where the effect becomes of order 1.

High density effects and MSSM inflation. The scenario that we will focus on is the case of thick wall Q-balls forming from a fragmenting condensate of a scalar field ϕ . For example, this will be the case in gravity mediated SUSY breaking with the $U(1)$ invariant potential

$$U(\phi) = m_\phi^2 \left[1 + K \ln \left(\frac{|\phi|^2}{M^2} \right) \right] |\phi|^2. \quad (1)$$

Q-balls form for $K < 0$, and the Q-ball solution is given by[10]

$$\phi(r) = \phi_0 \exp[-r^2/R^2] \exp[i\omega t], \quad (2)$$

where its radius, frequency, mass, and $U(1)$ charge are given by

$$R = \sqrt{\frac{2}{|K|}} m_\phi^{-1} \quad (3)$$

$$\omega^2 = m_\phi^2 \left[1 - 2K + K \ln \left(\frac{\phi_0^2}{M^2} \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

$$M_Q = \frac{2\pi^{3/2}}{|K|^{3/2}} \frac{\phi_0^2}{m_\phi} \left[1 - K \left(\frac{5}{2} - \ln \left[\frac{\phi_0^2}{M^2} \right] \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

$$Q = \frac{2\pi^{3/2}}{|K|^{3/2}} \frac{\phi_0^2}{m_\phi^2} \left[1 - K + \frac{K}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\phi_0^2}{M^2} \right) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Hence, when a condensate with a large VEV, $\phi \gg m_\phi$, breaks up into Q-balls with a core VEV of order $\phi_0 \lesssim \phi$, Q-balls have a large charge.

In the case that most of the energy in the universe is stored in the fragmenting condensate, as in the post-inflationary scenario of [7], a significant fraction of the charge and energy ends up inside the Q-balls[11]. The energy per unit charge is determined by the eccentricity of the orbit. It is m_ϕ if the eccentricity is zero, and larger otherwise which is the generic case. If the eccentricity is smaller than one, but of order one, all the charge falls into Q-balls (which can subsequently be eroded by collisions), and the remaining energy will form a cold, high density gas. The number density in the gas, denoted by $\delta\phi$, is approximately $n_1 \sim m_\phi \langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle$. Note that this density can be quite large since $\langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle \sim \phi_0^2$ despite the fact the $U(1)$ charge outside of the Q-balls is negligible compared to the charge inside.

This scenario is verified by simulations [11], but can be understood by the following scaling argument. Due to the attractive forces in the condensate, the inhomogeneities grow like[8] $\exp(\sqrt{|K|}k^2t)$, for $|k| < \sqrt{2|K|m_\phi}$. Q-balls form when the shortest wave length become non-linear. At this point, this is the smallest wave-length scale in the non-linear problem and hence it also determines the shortest wavelength of the gas which results from the same non-linear dynamics.

This result seems to be ubiquitous to non-perturbative preheating processes [1, 2]. Both in parametric resonance and in tachyonic preheating, the non-linear processes are characterized by a low UV cut-off on the modes that are excited. In parametric resonance, the cut-off originates from the frequency of oscillation of the driving field, and in the tachyonic preheating it is set by the negative mass-squared of the tachyon. In the next section we will discuss the approach to equilibrium in our model.

Had there been hot plasma between the Q-balls, it would have bombarded the Q-balls, leading to their rapid

decay by dissociation. For a thermal gas between the Q-balls this would have been the case. For example a thermal gas originating at $\phi_0 \sim 0.1 M_{\text{Pl}}$ (and $m_\phi \sim 10^3$ GeV) would have a temperature of 10^9 GeV which would dissociate the Q-balls rapidly.

This is where the high density effects become important, as they prevent the cold gas outside the Q-balls from decaying instantly due to its large density. Indeed, this large density contributes to the masses of all particles that ϕ couples to. Collectively denoting these particles by X , their effective mass is given by,

$$m_X \sim \sqrt{\langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle} \sim \phi_0 \propto m_\phi \sqrt{Q}. \quad (7)$$

Note that this induced mass is due to the large variation of the field, despite the fact that $\langle \delta\phi \rangle = 0$. Thus the decay of the gas is mediated by fields of large mass and can therefore be estimated by

$$\Gamma_{\text{gas}} \simeq \frac{m_\phi^3}{8\pi \langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle}, \quad (8)$$

(again although $\langle \delta\phi \rangle = 0$). This leads to a much colder plasma outside the Q-balls than the naive estimate. Indeed, one finds using (8), that for Q-balls with charge

$$Q \gtrsim Q_{\text{min}} \equiv 5 \times 10^{12} \left(\frac{m_\phi}{10^3 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{|K|}{0.01} \right)^{-3/2}, \quad (9)$$

the intermediate temperature of the plasma - after the gas decays and before the Q-balls' final decay and subsequent reheat of the universe - is given by

$$T_{\text{inter}} \simeq 10^5 \left(\frac{m_\phi}{10^3 \text{ GeV}} \right)^{5/6} \left(\frac{g_*}{200} \right)^{-1/4} \text{ GeV}. \quad (10)$$

Smaller Q-balls are not generated since the decay rates of both the gas and of the Q-balls themselves are smaller than the expansion rate, rendering such objects unstable.

On the other hand, the temperature above which dissociation takes place was found to be [7, 10],

$$T_{\text{diss}} \simeq 10^5 \left(\frac{|K|}{10^{-2}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{200}{g_*} \right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{m_\phi}{10^3 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{Q}{10^{14}} \right)^{1/4} \text{ GeV}. \quad (11)$$

Hence, contrary to naive expectations, for Q-balls with charge $\gtrsim 10^{14}$, amounting to the majority of stable Q-balls in the spectrum, the decay of the gas cannot cause dissociation of these objects. We stress, once more, that this result is due to the high density of the non-thermal gas. We also note that in the results presented here we have neglected collisions of Q-balls, which do not change the above conclusions. For the case of Q-giants this was shown in [7], but it is true more generally.

In particular, Q-giants, with charge of order 10^{28} are not dissociated and survive well into nucleosynthesis. As shown below, the reheat temperature after the final decay

of the Q-giants is given by[7]

$$T_{\text{RH}} = 10^{-3} \left(\frac{m_\phi}{10^3 \text{GeV}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{Q}{10^{28}} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left(\frac{|K|}{0.01} \right)^{-3/4} \left(\frac{g_*}{200} \right)^{-1/4} \text{GeV}. \quad (12)$$

In this case, the high density effects results in a reheat temperature twelve orders of magnitude smaller!

As promised in the introduction, in the case of Q-giants generated along the flat directions of the MSSM, such considerations exclude all flat directions with $K < 0$ from having displacement of order M_p . One can further exclude $K > 0$ flat directions with lepton or baryon number. This leads to a unique viable flat direction in the MSSM, $H_u H_d$, pinpointing the inflationary sector in the MSSM.

The approach to thermal equilibrium. Once formed, the non-thermal gas slowly approaches thermal equilibrium. In some respects, the relevant processes are similar to those discussed in thermalization of the usual preheating scenario[12], however, there are some crucial differences. One such difference is that during the formation of Q-balls, no large number densities of fields other than ϕ are present. In [12] such fields are initially populated via rapid parametric resonance or tachyonic preheating. In our case, the ϕ VEV gives mass to a heavy particle X (which in turn may couple to some particles). For generic trajectories of the complex ϕ VEV, with eccentricity of order one, the mass of X is much larger than the period of oscillation of ϕ (of order m_ϕ) and hence cannot be excited by parametric resonance. Also, in (1) there is no field that can undergo tachyonic preheating.

At large occupation number, the number density of a thermalized gas behaves as $n_k \propto 1/k$ for $k \gg m$ and $n_k = \text{const}$ for $k \ll m$. In our case, initially the gas is highly populated at momenta $k_* \sim \sqrt{K} m_\phi \ll m_\phi$ and so it's spectrum is sharply cut off at k_* . During thermalization two distinct processes occur: (i) At low momenta, the number density evolve to become constant as in the thermal distribution. (ii) Higher momentum modes become populated. As in [1, 12], the rate of the former is enhanced due to the large occupation number at low momenta. Thus the relaxation time for low momentum is small. The production of high momentum modes, however, must involve higher order mode-mode interactions (i.e. strong turbulence), which are not enhanced since the high momentum modes have low occupation number.

Relying on the these observations, we can integrate out X and obtain that the relevant decay rate for these many-body processes is suppressed by the mediation of heavy particles and can be estimated by,

$$\Gamma_{\text{thermal}} = n_\phi \langle \sigma_\phi v \rangle \lesssim \frac{m_\phi^3}{8\pi \langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle}. \quad (13)$$

This estimate is not limited to a $2 \rightarrow 2$ (which actu-

ally hardly contributes to populating high momentum modes), but is true for all n -body interactions for the specific potential (1). Hence we expect it to be valid in the large occupation number case at hand.

Eq. (13) is of the order the decay rate of the gas, eq. (8). Hence, while thermalization of low momentum modes occurs quickly, the gas never reaches true thermal equilibrium and decays before it has time to populate high momentum modes. Had thermalization occurred faster, considerably higher temperature and consequent dissociation of the Q-balls would have resulted.

Q-ball decay. The computation of the decay rate of the Q-balls also require modification in the presence of the non-thermal gas (although the effect is much less pronounced than the elimination of the high temperature dissociation process as discussed above). It also clarifies some of the physics involved. Hence below we reproduce the corrected decay rate and reheat temperature of the Q-balls, taking into account the effects of the gas. We will mostly follow the techniques of Ref. [10].

In the core of the Q-ball the VEV of ϕ is large, the masses of X are large and the decay is suppressed. This is the familiar computation [10, 13, 14]. However, outside of the core, the novel feature is that due to the high density of the gas, X is massive even in the region between the Q-balls where the coherent VEV $\langle \phi \rangle$ vanishes, again suppressing the decay through the surface of the Q-ball. In the usual computation, one defines the core radius r_c

$$r_c = R \sqrt{-\ln[m_\phi/(g\phi_0)]} \equiv \gamma_c R. \quad (14)$$

such that the decay rates are

$$\Gamma(r < r_c) \sim m_\phi^3/8\pi|\phi(r)|^2, \quad (15)$$

$$\Gamma(r > r_c) \sim g^2 m_\phi/8\pi. \quad (16)$$

In our case, there is a different time dependent scale r_d which replaces r_c . The reason for this is that in a mixed Q-ball/cold gas system the mass of X is $m_X^2 \sim g^2(|\langle \phi \rangle|^2 + \langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle)$. The latter contribution is given by $\phi_0^2 f(t)(a_i/a)^3$, where $f(t)$ takes into account the decay of the gas into light particles and mode-mode interactions, both of which reduce $\langle |\delta\phi|^2 \rangle_{\text{gas}}$. Following the discussion above, it may be approximated by 1 until the gas decays after which it rapidly approaches 0. We define r_d to be the location where these two contributions are equal

$$r_d = R \min \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \ln((a/a_i)^3 f/g^2)}, \gamma_c \right) \equiv \gamma_d R. \quad (17)$$

The decay rates are now given by

$$\Gamma(r < r_d(t)) \sim m_\phi^3/8\pi|\phi(r)|^2, \quad (18)$$

$$\Gamma(r > r_d(t)) \sim g^2 m_\phi^3/8\pi(m_\phi^2 + \phi_0^2 f(t)(a_i/a)^3). \quad (19)$$

The above has the correct limit, $f \rightarrow 0$, in the case the gas quickly decays and one returns to the usual vacuum decay rate of the Q-balls.

The reheating temperature at the end of Q-ball decay can be evaluated as follows. The effective decay rate of the Q-ball is obtained from the charge depletion rate,

$$\Gamma_Q = \frac{1}{Q} \left| \frac{dQ}{dt} \right| = \frac{1}{Q} \int 2w\phi^2(r)\Gamma(r)4\pi r^2 dr \quad (20)$$

$$\simeq \frac{wm_\phi^3 R^3}{Q} \left[\frac{\gamma_d^3}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma) \right]$$

where we have only written the leading order term, given that $\gamma_d > 1$. This leading contribution comes from the region $r < r_d$. To this end the suppression of the decay rate relative to the usual scenario occurs because $\gamma_d < \gamma_c$. Since however, γ_d is logarithmic in time, this suppression is only mild. One way to see this is by solving the resulting Boltzmann equations [7]:

$$\frac{d\rho_\gamma}{dt} + 4H\rho_\gamma = \int dQ f_Q(t, Q) \Gamma_Q(t, Q) M(Q) \quad (21)$$

$$\partial_t f_Q + 3Hf_Q = Q\Gamma_Q(t, Q)\partial_Q f_Q(t, Q) \quad (22)$$

where ρ_γ is the radiation energy density from the Q-ball decay, $M(Q)$ is the mass of the Q-ball with charge Q , and $f_Q(t, Q)$ is the Q-ball charge distribution function. This was done in [7], where the solution to these equations was presented in for case of Q-giants, without, however, accounting for the new decay rates. One may consistently treat γ_d as constant, and extract the time of decay by comparing Γ_Q to the Hubble scale H . One finds

$$\frac{t_{\text{decay}}}{t_i} \sim \frac{\beta}{(\ln \beta)^{3/2}}, \quad \beta \equiv \frac{\phi_0^3}{m_\phi^2 M_{\text{Pl}}} \quad (23)$$

where t_i is the time at which the Q-balls form. This gives only a suppression to Γ_Q relative to the conventional computation, of order $\gamma_d^3/\gamma_c^3 \simeq 1/5$ at the time of decay. Plugging the above γ_d in (20) one obtains the reheat temperature, eq. (12).

Conclusions. In recent years, a theory of preheating has emerged in an effort to understand universal features in the transition between the phase of a coherent condensate right after inflation, and the phase of thermal SM particles. In this letter we explored aspects of this transition in a case where Q-balls dominate an intermediate stage between the two phases.

We outlined key features of the non-thermal, high occupation number gas that remain between Q-ball during their non-linear formation process. We explained how such effects can change significantly the Q-ball decay dynamics. Compared to the situation with a thermal gas between the Q-balls, this high density leads to a suppression of up to 10^{12} in the reheat temperature.

This, for example, occurs in inflationary models where inflation takes place at large VEVs of scalars present in

SUSY extensions of the SM, such as the MSSM. In such cases, the Q-balls can attain charges of order 10^{28} . The non-thermal aspects of the gas suppress dissociation processes, leading to a reheat temperature below the nucleosynthesis temperature. Under realistic circumstances, this picks out a unique MSSM flat direction $H_u H_d$ to be part of the inflaton dynamics.

These finite density effects change the reheat temperature for much smaller Q-balls as well, all the way down to a charge of 10^{14} .

Acknowledgement.

The work of DJHC is supported by DOE Outstanding Junior Investigator Award DE-FG02-95ER40896 and NSF grant PHY-0506002. The work of TV is supported by the Chlore foundation and by the United States-Israel BSF. The work of MB is supported by the Israel Science Foundation, by the Braun-Roger-Siegl foundation, by EU-HPRN-CT-2000-00122, by GIF, by Minerva, by the Einstein Center and by the Blumenstein foundation.

* Electronic address: micha.berkooz@weizmann.ac.il

† Electronic address: danielchung@wisc.edu

‡ Electronic address: tomer.volansky@weizmann.ac.il

- [1] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3258 (1997); L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3195 (1994).
- [2] G. N. Felder, J. Garcia-Bellido, P. B. Greene, L. Kofman, A. D. Linde and I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 011601 (2001); G. N. Felder, L. Kofman and A. D. Linde, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123517 (2001).
- [3] T. D. Lee and Y. Pang, Phys. Rept. **221**, 251 (1992).
- [4] S. R. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B **262**, 263 (1985) [Erratum-ibid. B **269**, 744 (1986)].
- [5] K. Enqvist, A. Jokinen and J. McDonald, Phys. Lett. B **483**, 191 (2000).
- [6] A. Kusenko, Phys. Lett. B **405**, 108 (1997).
- [7] M. Berkooz, D. J. H. Chung and T. Volansky, arXiv:hep-ph/0507218.
- [8] K. Enqvist and A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rept. **380**, 99 (2003).
- [9] M. Berkooz, M. Dine and T. Volansky, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103502 (2005).
- [10] K. Enqvist and J. McDonald, Nucl. Phys. B **538**, 321 (1999).
- [11] S. Kasuya and M. Kawasaki, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023512 (2000).
- [12] G. N. Felder and L. Kofman, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103503 (2001).
- [13] A. G. Cohen, S. R. Coleman, H. Georgi and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B **272**, 301 (1986).
- [14] K. Enqvist, S. Kasuya and A. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 091301 (2002).